

NORTH ATLANTIC MILITARY COMMITTEE

COMITE MILITAIRE DE L'ATLANTIQUE NORD

Standing Group

Groupe Permanent

SGM-115-65

29 March 1965

MEMORANDUM FOR: Ministry of Defense Brussels, Belgium
 Ministry of Defense, Ottawa, Canada
 Ministry of Defense, Copenhagen, Denmark
 Ministry of Defense, Paris, France
 Ministry of Defense, Bonn, Germany
 Ministry of Defense, Athens, Greece
 Ministry of Defense, Rome, Italy
 Ministry of Defense, Luxembourg, Luxembourg
 Ministry of Defense, The Hague, Netherlands
 Ministry of Defense, Oslo, Norway
 Ministry of Defense, Lisbon, Portugal
 Ministry of Defense, Ankara, Turkey
 Ministry of Defense, London, England
 Secretary of Defense, Washington, D.C.
 Supreme Allied Commander, Europe
 Supreme Allied Commander, Atlantic
 Allied Commander-in-Chief, Channel
 Allied Communications Security Agency

413.48

SUBJECT: Allowance Table for ADONIS Equipment

References: a. SGM-432-58, 10 Jul 58
 b. ECSA Serial 330, 4 Nov 64

1. Reference a promulgated the authorized allowances of TSEC/KL-7 equipments. Reference b forwarded recommended changes to the allowances which have been examined by the Standing Group and approved for inclusion in the table set forth in Enclosure 1.

9/65

2. Addressees are individually responsible for the procurement of additional equipments which may be required as a result of the revised allowance table.

1 ENCLOSURE

1. Allowance Table for TSEC/KL-7

DISTRIBUTION: A1-8 17 19 D E1 F G H7-9 11 12


DEC, 1

DECLASSIFIED-PUBLIC DISCLOSURE IMSM-0001-2006 DECLASSIFIE-MISE EN LECTURE PUBLIQUE

NATO SECRET

3. Reference a is hereby superseded and should be destroyed by burning or reducing to pulp.

FOR THE STANDING GROUP:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'R. Stuck', with a long horizontal line extending to the right across the top of the signature.

RPS/mec

ROBERT P. STUCK
Brigadier General, FA
Chairman, CEC

NATO SECRET
SGM-115-65

NATO SECRET

ENCLOSURE 1

ALLOWANCE TABLE FOR TSEC/KL-7

Ministers of Defense	4
National Ministries (Army, Navy, Air) each	4
Supreme Commands	12
Headquarters Allied Commanders-in-Chief	12
Headquarters Allied Land and Air Commands (Sub-Area Commanders)	8
Headquarters Allied Naval (or Maritime Air) Area and Sub-area Commanders	8(see note 1)
Headquarters Allied Air Group Commands	8
Headquarters Allied Army Groups	8
Headquarters Allied Tactical Air Forces	8
Headquarters Armies	12
Headquarters Tactical Air Forces	8
Headquarters Army Corps	8
Headquarters Air Divisions	6
Army Division	5(see note 2)
Air Force Escadres/Wings/Groups	3
Independent Brigade	2
Air Defense Command	8
Air Defense Zone or Sector	2
Airfield	2
National District and Command (other than tactical and naval)	2(see note 3)
Naval Shore Authorities (NOIC)	2
Major Fleet Bases	4
Headquarters Submarine Operating Authorities	4
Island Commands	3
Patrol Force Commanders	2
Flag Officers (Afloat)	2
Base (Naval or Maritime Air)	3

NATO SECRET
SGM-115-65

DECLASSIFIED-PUBLIC DISCLOSURE IM5M-0001-2006 DECLASSIFIE-MISE EN LECTURE PUBLIQUE

NATO SECRET

Battleships, Carriers, Cruisers	4
Destroyers, Destroyer Escorts, Ocean going Minesweepers (equivalent types)	2(see note 4)
Submarines	1 or 2(See note 5)
Fast Patrol Boats	1(see note 4)
Inshore and Coastal Minesweepers	1(see note 4)
Long Range Maritime Patrol Aircraft	1
Transient Emergency or Alternate Base (Naval or Maritime Air)	2
Classroom Training	National determination

Notes:

1. This allocation does not apply to SACLANT's Area Commanders, nor to Sub-Area Commanders in the Mediterranean Area.
2. Forward Command Post - 2
Rear Command Post - 2
Reserve - 1
Should tactical planning envisage that an army division sub-formation of brigade or equivalent strength be employed in a tactical role similar to that of an independent brigade or should the tactical deployment of the division be such that tactically autonomous sub-groups are formed, a case may exist for the issue of high grade crypto systems to these tactically autonomous groups. Decisions in these cases should be made on a case by case basis, taking note of the above and the fact that the compromise by capture of a system at these levels will compromise the system of the whole corps.
3. Owing to their special duties, certain National Authorities may need more than the basic allowance of 2 machines; such special cases should be treated on their merits.

NATO SECRET

4. Nations may fit an additional one if they desire.
5. According to space limitations.
6. Where several authorities are served by one communication center, the establishment for such a center should not be the maximum allowance for all authorities, but should be adjusted locally.
7. JOC's - To be treated on their merits. They have not been included in the tables because their needs for machines depend on the level at which they operate. When directly associated with another Command, their allowances should be adjusted in accordance with note 6 above.

NATO SECRET
SGM-115-65